UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/825,337	04/15/2004	Baha T. Tanju	1600-09700	8644	
45933 CONLEY ROS	7590 11/17/200 E <b>.</b> P.C.	EXAMINER			
600 TRAVIS SUITE 7100	, -	MAHMOUDZADEH, NIMA			
HOUSTON, TX	X 77002	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			2477		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			11/17/2009	PAPER	

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/825,337	TANJU, BAHA T.	
Examiner	Art Unit	

	NIMA MAHMOUDZADEH	2477	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>13 October 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	R ALLOWANCE.	
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appetor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or ( MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejection	n.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply origithan three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to the proposed amendment (a) They raise new issues that would require further core (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bether the proposed and/or</li> </ol>	nsideration and/or search (see NO` w);	ΓE below);	
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a converse NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.	
<ul> <li>4.  The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12</li> <li>5.  Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li> </ul>		mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) <u>5,6,8 and 9</u> would lead the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>		•	
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [ how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 5-9,15-17 and 27. Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-4,10-14,18-21,24-26 and 28. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		ll be entered and an ex	৻planation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fails	s to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		•	
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	t does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowan	ce because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. ☐ Other: See Continuation Sheet.	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
/Chirag G Shah/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2477	/N. M./ Examiner, Art Unit 2477		

Continuation of 13. Other: On page 10 of the applicant's response the applicant argued that the prior art of the record fail to teach "a first master device generating a first data stream", "a second master device generating a second data stream, the first and second master devices being independent" and "[a] redundancy manager [that] is operable to selectively forward one of the first and second data streams to [a] slave device" of claim 1. The examiner respectfully disagrees. As shown in Fig. 1 of Raman, stream 170-A is generated by element 120 abd directed to element 110. A first master device can broadly interpretted asany device that generates data. The same argument applies for the second device generating stream 170-B. Also, As shown in Fig. 3, element 110 that can be a switch as well, switches streams from one to another as explained in more detail in column 15, lines 30-39. On page 11 of the applicant's response the applicant argued that the prior art of the record fail to teach "a redundancy manager device" with a switching mechanism "configured to receive a first data stream associated with a first master device and a second data stream associated with a second master device" and where "the switching mechanism is configured to implement a default configuration that forwards one of the first and second data streams to the slave device" of claim 10. The examiner respectfully disagrees. As shown in Fig. 3 of Raman, stream 170-A and stream 170-B are received by element 110 and the selected stream is being directed to element 130. Also, as discloses in collumn 15, lines 30-39, the configuration remains the same unless a change event happens, which will trigger the switching mechanism to the other stream. On page 12 of the applicant's response the applicant argued that the prior art of the record fail to teach "receiving a plurality of data streams, each data stream being received from a different master device" and "forwarding one of the data streams to a slave device according to a prioritization of data stream validity estimates, requests to forward a particular data stream, and a switch-based timing threshold" of claim 18. The examiner respectfully disagrees. Fig. 3 discloses reception of different streams by element 110 and further more element 130. in Fig. 3, element 110 select the appropriate stream based on the validity of the streams as shown in clumn 15, lines 30-39. In column 10, lines 13-18, discloses detecting the change in the stream, element 110 sends a request to the switch, stream 170-B to continue the communication from element 122. Column 6 lines 9-33 of Raman, discloses the calculation of the time elapsed for the stream in order to find the appropriate position for the second stream to proceed. On page 13 of the applicant's response the applicant argued that the prior art of the record fail to teach " the slave device comprises a subsea tool" of claims 4 and 24. The examiner respectfully disagrees. Fig. 7 and Fig. 8 disclose the subsea hydraulically-operated devices that include the subsea sensors, whether placed underwater or at the surface, provide signals directly or after pre-processing to the control unit 310.